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Introduction

In this project, we look at the factors that affect a person’s likelihood of visiting a hospital in two villages in Nepal - Nawalparasi and Rupendehi. People living in these villages are still severely affected with groundwater Arsenic contamination and are also exposed to indoor pollution due to use of wood fire for cooking. Our project looks at these factors as well as age of respondent in one’s decision to visit a hospital.

Literature Review

More than half of the Nepalese population lives below the international poverty line. Of the many health issues that plague the country, none is more important than the prevalence of Arsenic in groundwater exceeding the WHO standard (10 μg/L) with approximately 0.5 million people at risk of consuming water with an arsenic concentration > 50 μg/L. The overall prevalence of arsenicosis symptomatic patients among the risk region is found to be 15.3% with 84.21% melanosis in trunks and 15.79% keratosis in sole and palm. Additionally, most cooking is done indoors using wood fire causing exposure to smoke causing lung disease.

Research Question

What factors affect the frequency of hospital visits in Nawalparasi and Rupendehi? Is it Arsenic poisoning, indoor pollution from cooking or age?

Hypothesis

The frequency of an individual visiting a hospital increases as a result of drinking Arsenic-contaminated water.

Data

Dependent variable is Visit Hospital, which visits a hospital within the last six months by respondent. Independent variables are Smoky House (binary variable) whether or not home is polluted with smoke; Arsenic score that reveals the Arsenic levels (in PPM - parts per million) in the water source and lastly age of the respondent.

Methodology

Method: R version of a robust logistic regression
Tests: Deviance P-Value, AIC, McFadden’s R-sq Value

Variables: Visit Hospital
Has the respondent visited a hospital within the last six months? Binary Yes or No
Smoky House
Does the respondent reside within a smoky home? Binary Yes or No
Arsenic Score
Level of Arsenic in nearest groundwater source (in PPM) Numeric 0-99
Age
Respondent’s age. Numeric 0-99

Models

Since our dependent variable is binary in nature, it is in our best interest to carry out a nonlinear statistical model. In our case, the method of choice is the robust logistic regression. Below is the written-out form of the model we will be implementing.

1. \( \text{Visit Hospital} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{arsenic score} + \text{ut} \)
2. \( \text{Visit Hospital} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{arsenic score} + \beta_2 \text{Smoky House} + \text{ut} \)
3. \( \text{Visit Hospital} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{arsenic score} + \beta_2 \text{Smoky House} + \beta_3 \text{age} + \text{ut} \)

Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent variable:</th>
<th>Visit Hospital</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Model 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic Score</td>
<td>0.385*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.217)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoky House</td>
<td>0.066*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.040)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>0.064***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.024)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

McFaddens R-sq.
Chi-Squared        | 15.251  | 15.036  | 15.031  |
Dev. P-Value       | 1       | 1       | 1       |
Observations        | 194     | 194     | 194     |
Log Likelihood      | ~29.644 | ~28.308 | ~28.174 |
AIC                 | 65.396  | 59.415  | 64.349  |
BIC                 | 123.447 | 126.041 | 131.037 |

Note: * p<0.1; ** p<0.05; *** p<0.01

Frequency of Hospital Visitation vs Arsenic Score

Descriptive Statistics

We found that 9% of respondent visited hospital in previous six months. Of the three models we tested, model 2 had the lowest AIC score of 62.4 supporting best model. However, the p-value for Arsenic Score and Smoky House had confidence level of ~8-10%. The analysis suggests that the respondents were visiting hospital due to symptoms of Arsenic poisoning and smoke related illnesses. Government should subsidize further exploration on the co-factors of individuals visiting the hospital, presence of Arsenic, ecology, air, and other information that might help to map out the health status of the region.

References


Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Dr. Alok Bohara and Niraj Khatriwada for their guidance during this project. Supervised by Dr. Alok Bohara as a partial fulfillment of the research requirement for Econ 409: Intermediate Applied Econometrics (Department of Economics, University of New Mexico)